

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/595,366	Applicant(s) AN, BYUNG-MOO	
	Examiner JAY R. RIPLEY	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) JAY R. RIPLEY.

(2) Mr. Brian Johnson.

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 October 2008

Time: 9:20 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

Wagner (U.S.6,116,659)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed was incorporating the subject matter of claim 2 into claim 1 by Examiner's Amendment to more clearly define the instantly claimed invention in view of such prior art as Wagner. Applicant agreed that claim 1 can be amended to include the subject matter of claim 2 by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

